# **EXHIBIT A**

	11					
1	Samuel R. Miller (SBN 66871) srmiller@sidley.com					
2	Marie L. Fiala (SBN 79676) mfiala@sidley.com					
3	Ryan M. Sandrock (SBN 251781) rsandrock@sidley.com					
4	Robert B. Martin III (SBN 235489) rbmartin@sidley.com					
5	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, 20th Floor					
6	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-1200					
7	Facsimile: (415) 772-7400					
8	Attorneys For Defendant LG ELECTRONICS, INC					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11	In Re CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	) ) No.: M-07-5944 SC				
12	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	) MDL NO. 1917				
13		) Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti				
14	This Document Relates to:	) Special Master: Hon. Charles A. Legge ) (Ret.)				
15	DIRECT PURCHASER ACTION	) LGE's FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR				
16		) PRODUCTION TO THE DIRECT ) PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS				
17 18		)				
19						
20	Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,				
21	Defendants LG Electronics, Inc. ("LGE") hereby request that the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs					
22	("Plaintiffs") in the above-captioned action respond to the following first set of requests for					
23	production (the "Document Requests"). Plain	ntiffs are directed to serve the requested				
24	documents in conformance with the above-cited rules at the offices of Sidley Austin LLP,					
25	Attn: Ryan M. Sandrock, 555 California Street	t, San Francisco, CA 94110 (or at such other				
26	place as may be agreed upon by the parties), w	rithin thirty (30) days after the date of the				
27	service hereof.					
28						
	MDL NO. 1917	GE'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION				

**DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS** 

- For the purposes of these Document Requests, the following definitions apply:
   a. "Action" means the above-captioned consolidated class action.
  - b. "All" shall be construed as all, each, any, and every.
  - c. "And" and "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the Document Requests all information that might otherwise be construed to be outside of their scope.
  - d. "Complaint" means Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Action, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on March 16, 2009.
  - e. "CRTs" means cathode ray tubes, as defined in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
  - f. "Defendants" means all entities enumerated in Paragraphs 24-80 of the Complaint.
  - g. "Document(s)" means "documents" as defined in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including but not limited to any written, printed, typed, recorded, filmed, punched, transcribed, taped or other graphic matter of any kind or nature, however produced or reproduced, whether in hard copy, electronic, or other form, and includes, without limitation, pamphlets, brochures, books, booklets, information sheets, papers, articles, journals, magazines, computer printouts, Internet search results, tapes, discs or other forms of audio, visual or audio/visual recordings, records, memoranda, reports, financial statements, affidavits, handwritten and other notes, transcripts, paper, indices, letters, envelopes, telegrams, cables, electronic mail messages, telex messages, telecopied messages, telephone messages, summaries or records of telephone conversations, summaries or records of

personal conversations or interviews, summaries or records of meetings or conferences, minutes or transcriptions or notations of meetings or telephone conversations or other communications of any type, tabulations, studies, analyses, evaluations, projections, work papers, statements, summaries, opinions, journals, desk calendars, product labels, maintenance or service records, appointment books, diaries, billing records, checks, bank account statements, and invoices.

- h. The use of the term "Interrogatory" refers to those interrogatories in the First Set of Interrogatories of Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. to the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs, dated March 8, 2010.
- i. "LGE Defendants" means Defendants LGE and/or LG Electronics
   USA, Inc. or LG Electronics Taiwan Taipei Co., Ltd.
- "You" and "Your" means the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs in the Action, their direct and indirect parents, predecessors in interest, affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, successors, and assigns, the present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, and representatives of any of the above, and all persons acting or purporting to act on their behalf.
- B. These Document Requests cover all Documents and information in Your possession, custody, or control, including information in the possession of any of Your attorneys, agents, servants, representatives, consultants, or other persons directly or indirectly employed, retained by, or in privity with You, or anyone else acting on Your behalf or subject to Your control.
- C. For each Document requested, produce the entire original Document, along with all attachments, appendices, enclosures and exhibits, and any copies that are not identical to the original (whether because of notes made on, or attached to, such copy or otherwise),

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

regardless of whether you consider the attachments, appendices, enclosures and exhibits to be relevant or responsive to these requests.

- If any Document is withheld under a claim of privilege or is not produced for D. whatever reason, You must:
  - state with specificity the claim of privilege or other reason used to a. withhold the Document from production; and
  - b. identify each Document by (i) date; (ii) name, occupation, and capacity of the author; (iii) name, occupation, and capacity of the individual to whom the allegedly privileged matter emanated; and (iv) subject matter, without disclosing the Document's contents, in a manner sufficient to allow it to be described to the Court for a ruling on privilege or other reasons asserted.
- E. If You object to any portion of the Document Requests, provide all information called for by that portion of the Document Requests to which You do not object. State with reasonable particularity the reason for Your objection to the remainder.
- F. If, in responding to a request, You claim any ambiguity in interpreting either the Document Requests, or an applicable definition or instruction, such claim shall not be used as a basis for refusing to respond, but You should set forth as part of Your response the language deemed to be ambiguous and the interpretation chosen or used in responding to the Document Requests.
- G. Each page or sheet produced by You is to be marked with consecutive document control numbers.
- H. Identify any and all Documents requested by the Document Requests that have been destroyed.
- I. Electronic records and computerized information should be produced in an intelligible format or together with a description of the system from which they are derived, sufficient to permit rendering the material intelligible.

1	J. The singular form of any noun or pronoun includes the plural, and vice versa.	
2	K. Terms in the present tense include terms in the past tense, and terms in the past	
3	tense include terms in the present tense.	
4	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	
5	Document Request No. 1:	
6	All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 1.	
7	Document Request No. 2:	
8	All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 2.	
9	Document Request No. 3:	
10	All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 3.	
11	Document Request No. 4:	
12	All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 4.	
13	1011	
14	Dated: March 8, 2010 By:	
15	Samuel R. Miller (SBN 66871) srmiller@sidley.com	
16	Marie L. Fiala (SBN 79676) mfiala@sidley.com	
17	Ryan M. Sandrock (SBN 251781) rsandrock@sidley.com Robert B. Martin III (SBN 235489)	
18	rbmartin@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
19	555 California Street, 20th Floor San Francisco, California 94104	
20	Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400	
21	Attorneys For Defendant	
22	LG ELECTRONICS, INC	
23		
24		
25   26		
20 27		
2/ 28		
	MDL NO. 1917 LGE'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION 5	

```
Samuel R. Miller (SBN 66871)
  1
     srmiller@sidley.com
     Marie L. Fiala (SBN 79676)
 2
     mfiala@sidley.com
     Ryan M. Sandrock (SBN 251781)
 3
     rsandrock@sidley.com
     Robert B. Martin III (SBN 235489)
 4
     rbmartin@sidley.com
     SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
 5
     555 California Street, 20th Floor
     San Francisco, California 94104
 6
     Telephone:
                      (415) 772-1200
     Facsimile:
                      (415) 772-7400
 7
     Attorneys For Defendant
 8
     LG ELECTRONICS, INC
 9
                             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                          NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
 11
       In Re CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
                                                    No.: M-07-5944 SC
12
       ANTITRUST LITIGATION
                                                    MDL NO. 1917
13
                                                    Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti
                                                     Special Master: Hon. Charles A. Legge
       This Document Relates to:
14
                                                     (Ret.)
15
       DIRECT PURCHASER ACTION
                                                    LGE's FIRST SET OF
16
                                                    INTERROGATORIES TO THE
                                                    DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS
17
18
19
                  Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
20
     Defendants LG Electronics, Inc. ("LGE") hereby request that the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs
21
     ("Plaintiffs") in the above-captioned action respond to the following first set of interrogatories
22
     (the "Interrogatories"). Plaintiffs are directed to serve their verified answers in conformance
23
     with the above-cited rules at the offices of Sidley Austin LLP, Attn: Ryan M. Sandrock, 555
24
     California Street, San Francisco, CA 94110 (or at such other place as may be agreed upon by
25
     the parties), within thirty (30) days after the date of the service hereof.
26
27
28
     MDL NO. 1917
                                                       LGE'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### **DEFINITIONS**

For the purposes of these Interrogatories, the following definitions apply:

- A. "Action" means the above-captioned consolidated class action.
- B. "And" and "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the Interrogatories all information that might otherwise be construed to be outside of their scope.
- C. "Complaint" means Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Action, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on March 16, 2009.
  - D. "CRTs" means cathode ray tubes, as defined in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
- E. "Defendants" means all entities enumerated in Paragraphs 24-80 of the Complaint.
- F. "Document(s)" means "documents" as defined in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including but not limited to any written, printed, typed, recorded, filmed, punched, transcribed, taped or other graphic matter of any kind or nature, however produced or reproduced, whether in hard copy, electronic, or other form, and includes, without limitation, pamphlets, brochures, books, booklets, information sheets, papers, articles, journals, magazines, computer printouts, Internet search results, tapes, discs or other forms of audio, visual or audio/visual recordings, records, memoranda, reports, financial statements, affidavits, handwritten and other notes, transcripts, paper, indices, letters, envelopes, telegrams, cables, electronic mail messages, telex messages, telecopied messages, telephone messages, summaries or records of telephone conversations, summaries or records of personal conversations or interviews, summaries or records of meetings or conferences, minutes or transcriptions or notations of meetings or telephone conversations or other communications of any type, tabulations, studies, analyses, evaluations, projections, work papers, statements, summaries, opinions, journals, desk calendars. product labels, maintenance or service records, appointment books, diaries, billing records, checks, bank account statements, and invoices.

- G. "Each" means all, each, and every.
- H. "LGE Defendants" means Defendants LGE and/or LG Electronics USA, Inc. or LG Electronics Taiwan Taipei Co., Ltd.
- I. "Person(s)" means and includes all natural persons or entities, governmental units, partnerships, firms, corporations, associations, joint ventures, any other form of business organization or arrangement, or any form of public, private or legal entity.
- J. "You" and "Your" means the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs in the Action, their direct and indirect parents, predecessors in interest, affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, successors, and assigns, the present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, and representatives of any of the above, and all persons acting or purporting to act on their behalf.
  - K. The singular form of any noun or pronoun includes the plural, and vice versa.
- L. Terms in the present tense include terms in the past tense, and terms in the past tense include terms in the present tense.

## **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. Answers to these Interrogatories are to be based upon all knowledge or information available to Plaintiffs, including, but not limited to, all information or knowledge derivable from business or other records, and all knowledge or information possessed by any person, including but not limited to any employee, agent, attorney, expert witness, consultant, representative or other advisor, subject to the instruction, direction or control of Plaintiffs.
- 2. Each Interrogatory is to be answered separately and in order, and shall be construed independently and not by reference to any other Interrogatory.
- Plaintiffs should answer each Interrogatory fully, unless it is objected to, in which event the reasons for the objection should be specifically and separately stated.
- 4. The answers are to be signed by Plaintiffs and the objections, if any, are to be signed by the attorney making them.

- 5. Where a complete answer to a particular Interrogatory is not possible, the Interrogatory should be answered to the extent possible and a statement should be made indicating why only a partial answer is given, together with the identity of any Documents or sources from which more complete information is obtainable.
- 6. With respect to any Interrogatory that Plaintiffs may allege that they are unable to answer due to insufficient knowledge, Plaintiffs are requested to specify the nature of the inquiries made in an attempt to enable Plaintiffs to answer the Interrogatory, including the identity of any person communicated with in an attempt to enable Plaintiffs to respond fully to the Interrogatory.
- 7. If, in answering these Interrogatories, Plaintiffs claim any ambiguity in interpreting either a particular Interrogatory or a definition or instruction, such claim shall not be utilized as a basis for refusing to answer. Instead, Plaintiffs shall set forth as part of the answer the language deemed to be ambiguous and the interpretation utilized in the response to the Interrogatory.
- 8. When referring to a Person, "identify" means to state the Person's full name, present or last known address, telephone number, present or last known place of employment, and present or last known title at that place of employment. Once a Person has been identified in accordance with this paragraph, only the name of the Person need be listed in response to subsequent discovery requesting the identification of the Person.

20 ///

21 || ///

22 || ///

23 ///

24 | ///

25 || ///

26 | /// 27 | ///

**INTERROGATORIES** 

## Interrogatory No. 1:

State with specificity the factual basis (including any evidentiary sources) for Your allegation that Defendants conspired, combined and contracted to fix, raise, maintain, and stabilize the price at which computer monitors containing CRTs were sold in the United States, as alleged in, *inter alia*, Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

Interrogatory No. 2:

State with specificity the factual basis (including any evidentiary sources) for Your allegation that Defendants agreed to allocate market shares and customers of sales of computer monitors containing CRTs, as alleged in, *inter alia*, Paragraphs 5 and 138 of the Complaint.

## Interrogatory No. 3:

For each separate defendant (regardless of its affiliation with any other defendant), state with specificity the factual basis (including any Documents, Persons, or other evidentiary sources) for Your allegations that it conspired, combined, and contracted with any of the other Defendants to fix, raise, maintain and/or stabilize the prices of computer monitors containing CRTs sold in the United States, as alleged in, *inter alia*, Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

# Interrogatory No. 4:

For each separate defendant (regardless of its affiliation with any other defendant), state with specificity the factual basis (including any Documents, Persons, or other evidentiary sources) for Your allegations that it agreed to allocate market shares and customers of sales of computer monitors containing CRTs, as alleged in, *inter alia*, Paragraphs 5 and 138 of the Complaint.

27 ///

### Interrogatory No. 5: 2 Identify each Person who provided information to answer these Interrogatories. 3 4 Dated: March 8, 2010 By: 5 Samuel R. Miller (SBN 66871) srmiller@sidley.com Marie L. Fiala (SBN 79676) 6 mfiala@sidley.com Ryan M. Sandrock (SBN 251781) 7 rsandrock@sidley.com Robert B. Martin III (SBN 235489) rbmartin@sidley.com 8 9 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, 20th Floor 10 San Francisco, California 94104 (415) 772-1200 Telephone: 11 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 12 Attorneys For Defendant LG ELÉCTRONICS, INC 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STEVEN A. REISS (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) DAVID L. YOHAI (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) DAVID YOLKUT (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153-0119 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 Email: steven.reiss@weil.com  JEFFREY L. KESSLER (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 259-8000 Facsimile: (212) 259-7013 Email: jkessler@dl.com	e)	
12	Attorneys for Defendant MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	I- D- CATILODE DAY TUDE (COM)	)	
16	In Re CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	No.: M-07-5944 SC MDL NO. 1917	
17		) Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti	
18	This Document Relates to:	Special Master: Hon. Charles A. Legge (Ret.)	
19	DIRECT PURCHASER ACTION	)	
20		THE FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES OF	
21		DEFENDANT MT PICTURE DISPLAY CO., LTD. TO THE	
22		DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS	
23			
24	Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,		
25	Defendant MT Picture Display Co., Ltd. ("MTPD") hereby requests that the Direct Purchaser		
26	Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") in the above-captioned action respond to the following first set of		
27			
28	interrogatories (the "Interrogatories"). Plaintiffs are directed to serve their verified answers		
	MDL NO. 1917 DEFEND	ANT MTPD'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES	
FI	fi		

in conformance with the above-cited rules at the offices of WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP, Attn: David L. Yohai, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York, 10153 (or at such other place as may be agreed upon by the parties), within thirty (30) days after the date of the service hereof.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

For the purposes of these Interrogatories, the following definitions apply:

- A. "Action" means the above-captioned consolidated class action.
- B. "And" and "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the Interrogatories all information that might otherwise be construed to be outside of their scope.
- C. "Complaint" means Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Action,
   filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on March 16,
   2009.
  - D. "CRTs" means cathode ray tubes, as defined in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
- E. "Defendants" means all entities enumerated in Paragraphs 24-80 of the Complaint.
- F. "Document(s)" means "documents" as defined in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including but not limited to any written, printed, typed, recorded, filmed, punched, transcribed, taped or other graphic matter of any kind or nature, however produced or reproduced, whether in hard copy, electronic, or other form, and includes, without limitation, pamphlets, brochures, books, booklets, information sheets, papers, articles, journals, magazines, computer printouts, Internet search results, tapes, discs or other forms of audio, visual or audio/visual recordings, records, memoranda, reports, financial statements, affidavits, handwritten and other notes, transcripts, paper, indices, letters, envelopes, telegrams, cables, electronic mail messages,

 telex messages, telecopied messages, telephone messages, summaries or records of telephone conversations, summaries or records of personal conversations or interviews, summaries or records of meetings or conferences, minutes or transcriptions or notations of meetings or telephone conversations or other communications of any type, tabulations, studies, analyses, evaluations, projections, work papers, statements, summaries, opinions, journals, desk calendars, product labels, maintenance or service records, appointment books, diaries, billing records, checks, bank account statements, and invoices.

- G. "Each" means all, each, and every.
- H. When referring to a Person, "Identify" means to state, to the extent known, the Person's full name, present or last known address, and when referring to a natural person, additionally, the present or last known place of employment. Once a Person has been identified in accordance with this subparagraph, only the name of the Person need be listed in response to subsequent discovery requesting the identification of that Person.
- I. When referring to a Person, "Identity" means, to the extent known, the Person's full name, present or last known address, and when referring to a natural person, additionally, the present or last known place of employment. Once a Person has been identified in accordance with this subparagraph, only the name of the Person need be listed in response to subsequent discovery requesting the identification of that Person.
- J. When referring to a Document, "Identity" means, to the extent known, the (i) type of document; (ii) general subject matter; (iii) date of the document; and (iv) author(s), addressee(s) and recipient(s).
- K. "Person(s)" means and includes all natural persons or entities, governmental units, partnerships, firms, corporations, associations, joint ventures, any other form of business organization or arrangement, or any form of public, private or legal entity.

- L. "You" and "Your" means the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs in the Action, their direct and indirect parents, predecessors in interest, affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, successors, and assigns, the present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, and representatives of any of the above, and all persons acting or purporting to act on their behalf.
  - M. The singular form of any noun or pronoun includes the plural, and vice versa.
- N. Terms in the present tense include terms in the past tense, and terms in the past tense include terms in the present tense.

#### **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. Answers to these Interrogatories are to be based upon all knowledge or information available to Plaintiffs, including, but not limited to, all information or knowledge derivable from business or other records, and all knowledge or information possessed by any person, including but not limited to any employee, agent, attorney, expert witness, consultant, representative or other advisor, subject to the instruction, direction or control of Plaintiffs.
- 2. Each Interrogatory is to be answered separately and in order, and shall be construed independently and not by reference to any other Interrogatory.
- 3. Plaintiffs should answer each Interrogatory fully, unless it is objected to, in which event the reasons for the objection should be specifically and separately stated.
- 4. The answers are to be signed by Plaintiffs and the objections, if any, are to be signed by the attorney making them.
- 5. Where a complete answer to a particular Interrogatory is not possible, the Interrogatory should be answered to the extent possible and a statement should be made indicating why only a partial answer is given, together with the identity of any Documents or sources from which more complete information is obtainable.

- 6. With respect to any Interrogatory that Plaintiffs may allege that they are unable to answer due to insufficient knowledge, Plaintiffs are requested to specify the nature of the inquiries made in an attempt to enable Plaintiffs to answer the Interrogatory, including the identity of any person communicated with in an attempt to enable Plaintiffs to respond fully to the Interrogatory.
- 7. If, in answering these Interrogatories, Plaintiffs claim any ambiguity in interpreting either a particular Interrogatory or a definition or instruction, such claim shall not be utilized as a basis for refusing to answer. Instead, Plaintiffs shall set forth as part of the answer the language deemed to be ambiguous and the interpretation utilized in the response to the Interrogatory.

#### **INTERROGATORIES**

#### Interrogatory No. 1:

Identify each Person who provided information to answer these Interrogatories.

#### **Interrogatory No. 2:**

State with specificity the factual basis (including the Identity of each Document, Person or other evidentiary source upon which You rely) for Your allegation that Defendants conspired, combined and contracted to fix, raise, maintain, and stabilize the price at which televisions containing CRTs were sold in the United States, as alleged in, *inter alia*, Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

#### Interrogatory No. 3:

State with specificity the factual basis (including the Identity of each

Document, Person or other evidentiary source upon which You rely) for Your allegation that

Defendants conspired, combined and contracted to fix, raise, maintain, and stabilize the price

MDL NO. 1917

in the United States, as alleged in, inter alia, Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

Interrogatory No. 4:

State with specificity the factual basis (including the Identity of each Document, Person or other evidentiary source upon which You rely) for Your allegation that Defendants agreed to allocate market shares and customers of sales of televisions containing CRTs, as alleged in, *inter alia*, Paragraphs 5 and 138 of the Complaint.

at which products containing CRTs, other than televisions and computer monitors, were sold

#### Interrogatory No. 5:

State with specificity the factual basis (including the Identity of each Document, Person or other evidentiary source upon which You rely) for Your allegation that Defendants agreed to allocate market shares and customers of sales of products containing CRTs, other than televisions and computer monitors, as alleged in, *inter alia*, Paragraphs 5 and 138 of the Complaint.

#### Interrogatory No. 6:

For each separate Defendant (regardless of its affiliation with any other defendant), state with specificity the factual basis (including the Identity of each Document, Person or other evidentiary source upon which You rely) for Your allegations that it conspired, combined and contracted with any of the other Defendants to fix, raise, maintain, and stabilize the price at which televisions containing CRTs were sold in the United States, as alleged in, inter alia, Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, or agreed with any of the other Defendants to allocate market shares and customers of sales of televisions containing CRTs, as alleged in, inter alia, Paragraphs 5 and 138 of the Complaint.

	li .	
1	Dated: March 8, 2010	By:
2		GREGORY D. HULL (57367)
3		Email: greg.hull@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
		201 Redwood Shores Parkway
4		Redwood Shores, California 94065-1175 Telephone: (650) 802-3000
5		Facsimile: (650) 802-3100
6		
7		STEVEN A. REISS (pro hac vice)
8		Email: steven.reiss@weil.com
0		DAVID L. YOHAI ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Email: david.yohai@weil.com
9		DAVID E. YOLKUT (pro hac vice)
10		Email: david.yolkut@weil.com
11		WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue
_		New York, New York 10153-0119
12		Telephone: (212) 310-8000
13		Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
14		IEPPRENT MOGRAPH
15		JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) Email: jkessler@dl.com
16		A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice)
10		Email: pvictor@dl.com  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP
17		1301 Avenue of the Americas
18		New York, NY 10019
19		Telephone: (212) 259-8000 Facsimile: (212) 259-7013
		·
20		Attorneys for Defendant MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.
21		Toping Con, Zim
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	MDI NO 1015	
İ	MDL NO. 1917	DEFENDANT MTPD'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGAT

1	STEVEN A. REISS (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
2	DAVID L. YOHAI (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
3	DAVID YOLKUT (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP		
4	767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153-0119		
5	Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007		
6	Email: steven.reiss@weil.com		
7	JEFFREY L. KESSLER (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
8	A. PAUL VICTOR (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP		
9	1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019		
10	Telephone: (212) 259-8000		
11	Facsimile: (212) 259-7013 Email: jkessler@dl.com		
12	Attorneys for Defendant MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	)		
16	In Re CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ) No.: M-07-5944 SC ANTITRUST LITIGATION ) MDL NO. 1917		
17	) ) Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti		
18	This Document Relates to:  ) Special Master: Hon. Charles A. Legge		
19	) (Ret.) DIRECT PURCHASER ACTION )		
20	) THE FIRST SET OF DOCUMENT ) REQUESTS OF DEFENDANT		
21	) MT PICTURE DISPLAY CO., LTD. ) TO THE DIRECT PURCHASER		
22	PLAINTIFFS		
23	,		
24	Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,		
25	Defendant MT Picture Display Co., Ltd. ("MTPD") hereby requests that the Direct Purchaser		
26			
27	Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") in the above-captioned action respond to the following first set of		
28	document requests (the "Document Requests"). Plaintiffs are directed to serve the requested		
	MDL NO. 1917 DEFENDANT MTPD'S FIRST SET OF DOCUMENT REQUESTS		
1.			

documents for inspection and copying in conformance with the above-cited rules at the offices of WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP, Attn: David L. Yohai, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York, 10153 (or at such other place as may be agreed upon by the parties), within thirty (30) days after the date of the service hereof.

#### **DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. For the purposes of these Document Requests, the following definitions apply:
  - a. "Action" means the above-captioned consolidated class action.
  - b. "All" shall be construed as all, each, any, and every.
  - c. "And" and "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the Document Requests all information that might otherwise be construed to be outside of their scope.
  - d. "Complaint" means Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Action, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on March 16, 2009.
  - e. "CRTs" means cathode ray tubes, as defined in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
  - f. "Defendants" means all entities enumerated in Paragraphs 24-80 of the Complaint.
  - g. "Document(s)" means "documents" as defined in the Federal Rules of
    Civil Procedure, including but not limited to any written, printed, typed,
    recorded, filmed, punched, transcribed, taped or other graphic matter of
    any kind or nature, however produced or reproduced, whether in hard copy,
    electronic, or other form, and includes, without limitation, pamphlets,

brochures, books, booklets, information sheets, papers, articles, journals, magazines, computer printouts, Internet search results, tapes, discs or other forms of audio, visual or audio/visual recordings, records, memoranda, reports, financial statements, affidavits, handwritten and other notes, transcripts, paper, indices, letters, envelopes, telegrams, cables, electronic mail messages, telex messages, telecopied messages, telephone messages, summaries or records of telephone conversations, summaries or records of personal conversations or interviews, summaries or records of meetings or conferences, minutes or transcriptions or notations of meetings or telephone conversations or other communications of any type, tabulations, studies, analyses, evaluations, projections, work papers, statements, summaries, opinions, journals, desk calendars, product labels, maintenance or service records, appointment books, diaries, billing records, checks, bank account statements, and invoices.

- h. The use of the term "Interrogatory" refers to those interrogatories in the First Set of Interrogatories of Defendant MT Picture Display Co., Ltd. to the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs, dated March 8, 2010.
- i. "You" and "Your" means the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs in the Action, their direct and indirect parents, predecessors in interest, affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, successors, and assigns, the present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, and representatives of any of the above, and all persons acting or purporting to act on their behalf.

- 2. These Document Requests cover all Documents and information in Your possession, custody, or control, including information in the possession of any of Your attorneys, agents, servants, representatives, consultants, or other persons directly or indirectly employed, retained by, or in privity with You, or anyone else acting on Your behalf or subject to Your control.
- 3. For each Document requested, produce the entire original Document, along with all attachments, appendices, enclosures and exhibits, and any copies that are not identical to the original (whether because of notes made on, or attached to, such copy or otherwise), regardless of whether you consider the attachments, appendices, enclosures and exhibits to be relevant or responsive to these requests.
- 4. If any Document is withheld under a claim of privilege or is not produced for whatever reason, You must:
  - a. state with specificity the claim of privilege or other reason used to withhold the Document from production; and
  - b. identify each Document by (i) date; (ii) name, occupation, and capacity of the author; (iii) name, occupation, and capacity of the individual to whom the allegedly privileged matter emanated; and (iv) subject matter, without disclosing the Document's contents, in a manner sufficient to allow it to be described to the Court for a ruling on privilege or other reasons asserted.
- 5. If You object to any portion of the Document Requests, provide all information called for by that portion of the Document Requests to which You do not object. State with reasonable particularity the reason for Your objection to the remainder.

Document Request No. 5: 1 All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 6. 2 **Document Request No. 6:** 3 4 All other Documents that purportedly support Your allegations that Defendants 5 conspired, combined and contracted to fix, raise, maintain, and stabilize the price at which 6 finished products containing CRTs were sold in the United States, or agreed to allocate 7 market shares and customers of sales of finished products containing CRTs. 8 9 Dated: March 8, 2010 GREGORY D. HULL (57367) 10 Email: greg.hull@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 11 201 Redwood Shores Parkway 12 Redwood Shores, California 94065-1175 Telephone: (650) 802-3000 13 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100 14 STEVEN A. REISS (pro hac vice) Email: steven.reiss@weil.com 15 DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice) 16 Email: david.yohai@weil.com DAVID E. YOLKUT (pro hac vice) 17 Email: david.yolkut@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 18 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153-0119 19 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 20 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 21 JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) Email: jkessler@dl.com 22 A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice) Email: pvictor@dl.com 23 DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP 24 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 25 Telephone: (212) 259-8000 Facsimile: (212) 259-7013 26 27 Attorneys for Defendant MT Picture Display Co., Ltd. 28